arXiv:1612.07344v1 [gr-qc] 21 Dec 2016

The Unruh effect for higher derivative field theory

Jasel Berra–Montiel[1], **Jairo Martínez–Montoya**[2] **and Alberto Molgado**[1,3]

[1] Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autonoma de San Luis Potosi
Av. Salvador Nava S/N Zona Universitaria, San Luis Potosi, SLP, 78290 Mexico
[2] Unidad Academica de Fisica, Universidad Autonoma de Zacatecas, Calzada Solidaridad esq. Paseo La Bufa S/N, Zacatecas, Zac, 98060 Mexico
[3] Dual CP Institute of High Energy Physics, Mexico

E-mail: jberra@fc.uaslp.mx, molgado@fc.uaslp.mx

Abstract. We analyse the emergence of the Unruh effect within the context of a field Lagrangian theory associated to the Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth order oscillator model. To this end, we introduce a transformation that brings the Hamiltonian bounded from below and is consistent with $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum mechanics. We find that, as far as we consider different frequencies within the Pais-Uhlenbeck model, a particle together with an antiparticle of different masses are created as may be traced back to the Bogoliubov transformation associated to the interaction between the Unruh-DeWitt detector and the higher derivative scalar field. On the contrary, whenever we consider the equal frequencies limit, no particle creation is detected as the pair particle/antiparticle annihilate each other. Further, following Moschella and Schaeffer, we construct a Poincaré invariant two-point function for the Pais-Uhlenbeck model, which in turn allows us to perform the thermal analysis for any of the emanant particles.

Keywords: Higher order, Pais-Uhlenbeck, Unruh effect, Conformal Gravity

PACS numbers: 04.20.Fy, 4.50.-h, 04.62.+v, 11.10.Ef

AMS classification scheme numbers: 70H50, 81T20, 81S05, 83D05

1. Introduction

Lagrangians depending on higher order derivatives appear in many areas of physics, including string theory, brane theories, and remarkably gravitation-like models for which the higher order dependence is introduced by means of curvature terms as, for example, in the Regge-Teitelboim model for gravity à la string [1], [2], and Dirac's membrane model [3], [4]. One may even encounter this kind of higher order in derivative Lagrangians in economy and biology [5], [6]. In particular, in the gravitational context, one may consider Weyl's conformal gravity which is a theory of gravity constructed from the Weyl tensor. Among the peculiarities of conformal gravity we emphasize that it contains higher order derivative terms and also it is a renormalizable theory. Despite of the importance of higher order theories in physics, the study of this kind of systems

was slighted as there were some evidence on the appearance of associated negative norm states at the quantum level, commonly known as *ghosts*. However, in the past few years, higher order theories were analysed from different perspectives, among them the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonian version, from which the ghosts may disappear under suitable conditions on the physical states [7], [8], [9]. Indeed, in $\mathcal{PT}$ quantum mechanics if the Hamiltonian is not Dirac Hermitian, but instead is symmetric under parity reflexion and time reversal transformations, a new dynamical inner product must be introduced. This inner product is constructed by means of isospectral similarity transformations on the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonian, resulting in a positive definite Hamiltonian, whose eigenstates have a positive inner product. In this sense, $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric Hamiltonian quantization was introduced for the Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth order oscillator, avoiding the emergence of ghosts [7].

In this paper we present a field theoretical version of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator in order to analyse the appearance of the Unruh effect, that is, the creation of particles as seen from an accelerated observer and corresponding to a thermal bath with temperature proportional to the acceleration. Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator has been proposed as a toy model to study certain issues in conformal gravity. Indeed, by considering a linear approximation around a flat spacetime background on the conformal action leads to a classical fourth order derivative theory, as discussed in [10], and also, symmetries of the Pais-Uhlenbeck model have been studied from the perspective of one of the subgroups of the conformal group [11]. In consequence, our main aim is to address the emergence of the Unruh effect associated to a higher order derivative theory. Our construction considers a transformation which brings the Pais-Uhlenbeck field model to a well-defined bounded from below Hamiltonian when decomposed into Fourier modes. This decomposition is in full agreement with the principles developed within $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum mechanics [12]. Further, we note that the Unruh effect only appears in the case that the frequencies that characterize the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator are unequal. Within our setup, this can be easily seen as the coefficients appearing in the Bogoliubov transformation vanish in the equal frequency limit. This confirms the intuitive picture as for the equal frequency limit the Pais-Uhlenbeck model is known to have continuous eigenvalues, in opposition to the discrete eigenvalues obtained for unequal frequencies [13], [14]. As for the thermal behaviour, we adapt Moschella and Schaeffer construction [15], [16], to the model of our interest. Due to the symmetry of the Pais-Uhlenbeck decomposition into modes, we argue that the two-point function describing the system behaves as a couple of subsystems, one for a particle and one for an antiparticle, for which the temperature is associated to a factor proportional to their different masses.

The rest of the article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we start by describing the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms for the Pais-Uhlenbeck field model. In Section 3 we analyse extensively the Unruh effect adapted to our original setup. In opposition to the standard analysis of the Unruh effect, we discuss the creation of a couple of particles of different masses. Finally, in Section 4 we include some concluding

remarks.

2. Pais-Uhlenbeck field model

We consider an action described in a four dimensional Minkowski spacetime with signature $(+---)$ corresponding to the field version of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator with a current term, representing the Unruh-DeWitt detector,

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2}\phi(\Box + {m_1}^2)(\Box + {m_2}^2)\phi + J\phi\right] \\ &= \int d^4x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\Box\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}({m_1}^2 + {m_2}^2)\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi + \frac{1}{2}{m_1}^2{m_2}^2\phi^2 + \epsilon Q\phi\right] , \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where the first term in the first line represents the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator while the second term stands for the Unruh-DeWitt detector, from the last line we identify $J = \epsilon Q$, ϵ being a free parameter associated to the detector efficiency and $Q = Q(t)$ being an arbitrary function described by a point-like object endowed with an internal structure that couples with a scalar field by means of a monopole moment, as described in [17], [18], [19]. The function $\phi = \phi(t, \vec{x})$ stands for the Pais-Uhlenbeck scalar field, and the *masses* m_1 and m_2 are positive definite parameters characterizing the system. We emphasize that, in order to analyse the Unruh effect, we will consider that the current term J is only active for a certain period of time, that is,

$$J(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0 \\ \epsilon Q(t) & 0 < t < \tau \\ 0 & t > \tau \end{cases} . \quad (2)$$

Despite of the arbitrariness of the function $Q(t)$, we will only focus our attention in the regions before and after it interacts with the field $\phi(x)$. In the regions without interaction $J(t) = 0$, and by expanding the field $\phi(x)$ in spatial Fourier modes

$$\phi(x) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\phi_k e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} , \quad (3)$$

the field equation of motion

$$(\Box + m_1^2)(\Box + m_2^2)\phi = 0 \quad (4)$$

reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \int d^3k \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \Bigg[&\frac{d^4\phi_k}{dt^4} + (2k^2 + {m_1}^2 + {m_2}^2)\frac{d^2\phi_k}{dt^2} \\ &+ (k^4 + k^2({m_1}^2 + {m_2}^2) + {m_1}^2{m_2}^2)\phi_k \Bigg] , \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and from this expression we obtain, by comparison with the equation of motion of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator, the dispersion relations

$${\omega_1}^2 = k^2 + {m_1}^2 , \qquad {\omega_2}^2 = k^2 + {m_2}^2 , \quad (6)$$

where ω_1 and ω_2 stand for the frequencies of the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator. We note that each Fourier mode represents an independent Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth order oscillator.

From now on, and without loss of generality, we will consider $\omega_1 > \omega_2$. As we will see, the equal frequency limit results ill-defined when obtained from the general perspective $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ as the quantum behaviour results completely different [13], [14], and the Unruh effect will not emerge in this case. We will include appropriate comments whenever necessary in order to clarify this issue.

Since the Pais-Uhlenbeck field theory possesses higher derivative terms, in order to get the Hamiltonian, we proceed using the standard Ostrogradski formalism. To implement this method, the phase space involves, in addition to the canonical coordinates (ϕ, π_ϕ), an extra pair of canonical variables associated to its higher order nature, namely $\psi := \partial_0\phi$, with corresponding canonical momentum π_ψ. The momenta are defined explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_\phi &= \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\phi)} - \partial_0\left(\frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial(\partial_0^2\phi)}\right) = -\left[(m_1{}^2 + m_2{}^2)\partial_0\phi + \partial_0\Box\phi\right] \\ \pi_\psi &= \frac{\partial\mathscr{L}}{\partial(\partial_0\psi)} = \Box\phi\,,\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\mathscr{L}$ denotes the Lagrangian density appearing in the action (1), and ∂_0 denotes the partial time derivative. The canonical Hamiltonian, $H := \int d^3x\,\mathscr{H}$, is thus obtained in a standard manner through the Legendre transformation, $\mathscr{H} = \partial_0\phi\pi_\phi + \partial_0\psi\pi_\psi - \mathscr{L}$. Hence, the Hamiltonian density reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} = \psi\pi_\phi + \frac{1}{2}\pi_\psi{}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2)\psi^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_1^2m_2^2\phi^2 - \left(\partial_\mu\nabla^2\phi\right)\partial^\mu\phi \\ - \frac{1}{2}(m_1^2 + m_2^2)\nabla\phi\cdot\nabla\phi + \partial_\mu\left(\nabla^2\phi\partial^\mu\phi\right) - \epsilon Q\phi\,,\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the next to last term corresponds to a boundary term. If we expand the field into spatial Fourier modes we get independent Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillators interacting with the Unruh-DeWitt detector for each mode

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}}\left[\psi_{\vec{k}}\,\pi_{\phi_{\vec{k}}} + \frac{1}{2}\pi_{\psi_{\vec{k}}}{}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1{}^2 + \omega_2{}^2)\psi_{\vec{k}}{}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\omega_1{}^2\omega_2{}^2\phi_{\vec{k}}{}^2 - \epsilon Q\phi_{\vec{k}}\right], \tag{9}$$

as expected.

In the Fock space representation, we introduce two different pairs of creation and annihilation operators for each mode

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{\vec{k}} &:= \frac{1}{\Delta}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_2}}(b_{\vec{k}} + b_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger) - i\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega_1}}(a_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger - a_{\vec{k}})\right] \\ \pi_{\phi_{\vec{k}}} &:= \frac{\omega_1\omega_2}{\Delta}\left[i\frac{\omega_1}{\sqrt{2\omega_2}}(b_{\vec{k}} - b_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger) - \frac{\omega_2}{\sqrt{2\omega_1}}(a_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger + a_{\vec{k}})\right] \\ \psi_{\vec{k}} &:= \frac{1}{\Delta}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{2}}(a_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger + a_{\vec{k}}) - i\sqrt{\frac{\omega_2}{2}}(b_{\vec{k}} - b_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger)\right] \\ \pi_{\psi_{\vec{k}}} &:= \frac{1}{\Delta}\left[i\omega_1\sqrt{\frac{\omega_1}{2}}(a_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger - a_{\vec{k}}) - \omega_2\sqrt{\frac{\omega_2}{2}}(b_{\vec{k}} + b_{\vec{k}}{}^\dagger)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta := \sqrt{\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2}$. These operators satisfy the equal time commutation relations

$$[a_{\vec{k}}, a^\dagger_{\vec{k}'}] = \delta(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') = -[b_{\vec{k}}, b^\dagger_{\vec{k}'}]\,, \tag{11}$$

and, otherwise they vanish, The choice of the sign in front of the commutation relation between the $b_{\vec{k}}$ and $b^{\dagger}_{\vec{k}}$ operators is necessary in order to guarantee that the energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian is real and bounded from below [20].

In terms of the creation and annihilation operators (10), the Hamiltonian for the Pais-Uhlenbeck model is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[\omega_1 \left(a_{\vec{k}}{}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \omega_2 \left(b_{\vec{k}}{}^{\dagger} b_{\vec{k}} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + i\epsilon \frac{Q}{\Delta} \frac{(a_{\vec{k}}{}^{\dagger} - a_{\vec{k}})}{\sqrt{2\omega_1}} - \epsilon \frac{Q}{\Delta} \frac{(b_{\vec{k}} + b_{\vec{k}}{}^{\dagger})}{\sqrt{2\omega_2}} \right], \tag{12}$$

The first two terms in this Hamiltonian correspond to the diagonal operator representation of an infinite sum of Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillators, while the terms in the second line comprise the different way in which the Unruh-DeWitt detector interacts with the introduced creation and annihilation operators. In case $\epsilon = 0$, by considering the basic commutators (11), and the property that $a_{\vec{k}}$ and $b_{\vec{k}}$ annihilate the 0-particle state $|\Omega\rangle$,

$$a_{\vec{k}} |\Omega\rangle = 0 \,, \qquad b_{\vec{k}} |\Omega\rangle = 0 \,, \tag{13}$$

we can observe that the state $|\Omega\rangle$ corresponds to the ground state with energy $\frac{1}{2}(\omega_1 + \omega_2)$ for each mode. As noted in [20], although the Hamiltonian (12) is not manifestly Dirac Hermitian, it results to be invariant under $\mathcal{PT}$ transformations. This means, that the ghost states can be reinterpreted as positive quantum states with respect to a suitable $\mathcal{PT}$ inner product. This product is constructed by introducing a dynamical reflection symmetry operator $\mathcal{C}$, which commutes with the Hamiltonian and the $\mathcal{PT}$ operator. Then, under this new inner product the norm of the states prove to be strictly positive. Similarly, in order to analyse the thermal behaviour of the Pais-Uhlenbeck particles, we will show that a positive inner product defined on the space of solutions must be introduced with the aim of avoiding the emergence of ghosts.
Finally, we note that the term $\Delta = \sqrt{\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2}$ appearing in (10) becomes singular in the equal frequencies limit, this limit corresponds to the case of equal masses for a given mode. As stated in [13], [14], in the equal frequencies case, the behaviour of the Pais-Uhlenbeck system results completely different as the spectrum of the Hamiltonian is composed of a discrete spectrum part coming from an angular momentum term, and of a continuous part originated from a different term given by the squared norm of the position variables.

3. The Unruh effect for the Pais-Uhlenbeck field

In this Section we will explore the Unruh effect construction for the field theory developed so far, demonstrating the way in which particles are created for such model, and we also explore the thermal behaviour of the system by introducing an appropriate two-point function.

3.1. Creation of particles and Unruh-DeWitt detector

Following Heisenberg prescription, $i(df/dt) = [f, H]$, ($\hbar = 1$), we may determine how the system evolves in time. In this way, using the commutation relations (11), the evolution of the operators $a_{\vec{k}}$ and $b_{\vec{k}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\vec{k}}(t) &= a_{\vec{k}}^{(in)} e^{-i\omega_1 t} + \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta\sqrt{2\omega_1}} \int_0^t e^{i\omega_1(t'-t)} Q(t') dt' \\ b_{\vec{k}}(t) &= b_{\vec{k}}^{(in)} e^{-i\omega_2 t} - \frac{i\epsilon}{\Delta\sqrt{2\omega_2}} \int_0^t e^{i\omega_2(t'-t)} Q(t') dt' \,, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $a_{\vec{k}}^{(in)}$ and $b_{\vec{k}}^{(in)}$ are constants determined by the initial conditions at $t = 0$. Assuming that the current $J(t) = \epsilon Q(t)$ only acts in the interval $[0, \tau]$ (see definition (2)), we define the interaction terms

$$J_a := \frac{\epsilon}{\Delta\sqrt{2\omega_1}} \int_0^\tau e^{i\omega_1 t'} Q(t') dt' \,, \quad J_b := -\frac{i\epsilon}{\Delta\sqrt{2\omega_2}} \int_0^\tau e^{i\omega_2 t'} Q(t') dt' \,, \tag{15}$$

where the integrals are considered in the interval $[0, \tau]$ as only in this interval the current interacts with the Pais-Uhlenbeck field. Note that the non-symmetric manner in which J_a and J_b appear in the expressions above results from the higher order structure of the model. Next, we separate our operators $a_{\vec{k}}(t)$ and $b_{\vec{k}}(t)$ into two parts each, one before and one after the current interaction. Thus, we have

$$a_{\vec{k}}(t) = \begin{cases} a_{\vec{k}}^{(in)} e^{-i\omega_1 t}, & t < 0 \\ a_{\vec{k}}^{(out)} e^{-i\omega_1 t}, & t > \tau \end{cases} \,, \qquad b_{\vec{k}}(t) = \begin{cases} b_{\vec{k}}^{(in)} e^{-i\omega_2 t}, & t < 0 \\ b_{\vec{k}}^{(out)} e^{-i\omega_2 t}, & t > \tau \end{cases} \,, \tag{16}$$

where the operators $a_{\vec{k}}^{(out)}$ and $b_{\vec{k}}^{(out)}$ are straightforwardly obtained from (14) and (15), and explicitly may be written as $a_{\vec{k}}^{(out)} := a_{\vec{k}}^{(in)} + J_a$, and $b_{\vec{k}}^{(out)} := b_{\vec{k}}^{(in)} + J_b$, respectively.

Before the interaction occurs we assume, for any value of $\vec{k}$ (thus, from now on we will omit the $\vec{k}$ index), that there exist no particle states, $|\Omega_{0,b}\rangle_{in}$ and $|\Omega_{a,0}\rangle_{in}$, such that

$$a^{(in)} |\Omega_{0,b}\rangle_{in} = 0 \,, \qquad b^{(in)} |\Omega_{a,0}\rangle_{in} = 0 \,, \tag{17}$$

where states $|\Omega_{0,b}\rangle_{in}$ and $|\Omega_{a,0}\rangle_{in}$ represent the absence of particles of type a and b, respectively, while the state $|\Omega_{0,0}\rangle_{in}$ stands for the Minkowski vacuum state in the $t < 0$ region. Once we have defined our vacuum, we can construct from the no particle state all the others states by repeated use of creation operators $a^{(in)\dagger}$ and $b^{(in)\dagger}$

$$|\Omega_{n,b}\rangle_{in} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (a^{(in)\dagger})^n |\Omega_{0,b}\rangle_{in} \,, \qquad |\Omega_{a,m}\rangle_{in} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}} (b^{(in)\dagger})^m |\Omega_{a,0}\rangle_{in} \,. \tag{18}$$

Analogously, after the interaction took place we also assume the existence of no particle states, $|\Omega_{0,b}\rangle_{out}$ and $|\Omega_{a,0}\rangle_{out}$, such that

$$a^{(out)} |\Omega_{0,b}\rangle_{out} = 0 \,, \qquad b^{(out)} |\Omega_{a,0}\rangle_{out} = 0 \,, \tag{19}$$

where, similarly, states $|\Omega_{0,b}\rangle_{out}$ and $|\Omega_{a,0}\rangle_{out}$ represent the absence of particles of type a and b, respectively, while the state $|\Omega_{0,0}\rangle_{out}$ stands for the Minkowski vacuum state in the $\tau < t$ region. Of course, analogous construction to (18) occur for any state in the $\tau < t$ region.

As mentioned before we have two sets of states, $|\Omega_{a,b}\rangle_{in}$ corresponding to the region $t < 0$, and $|\Omega_{a,b}\rangle_{out}$ corresponding to the region $\tau < t$, respectively. As both sets are described in Minkowski spacetime, the idea is to compare both sets and see how they are related. This relation is described by the Bogoliubov transformation

$$|\Omega_{0,0}\rangle_{in} = \sum_{n,m} \Lambda_{n,m} |\Omega_{n,m}\rangle_{out} \tag{20}$$

where $\Lambda_{n,m} \in \mathbb{C}$. For the case of our interest, the explicit form of the Bogoliubov transformation reads

$$\Lambda_{n,m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!m!}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(|J_a|^2 + |J_b|^2\right)} J_a^n J_b^m \,. \tag{21}$$

where J_a and J_b were defined in (15). Indeed, by considering this Bogoliubov transformation one may easily verify relations (17) by taking into account the expressions $a^{(out)} = a^{(in)} + J_a$ and $b^{(out)} = b^{(in)} + J_b$ described above.

As time passes, one may wander if the state $|\Omega_{0,0}\rangle_{in}$ may evolve until it reaches the state $|\Omega_{a,b}\rangle_{out}$. As in ordinary quantum mechanics, the probability of this to happen is

$$\rho^2 = \left|{}_{out}\langle \Omega_{a,b} | \Omega_{0,0} \rangle_{in}\right|^2 = \left|{}_{out}\langle \Omega_{a,b}| \sum_{n,m} \Lambda_{n,m} \, |\Omega_{a,b}\rangle_{out}\right|^2 = |\Lambda_{n,m}|^2 \,. \tag{22}$$

This result tells us that the probability that the vacuum state in the region $t < 0$ evolves into a state with particles in the region $\tau < t$ may be different from zero. Thus, we conclude that in the process of interaction at the region $0 < t < \tau$ particles were created. This particle creation is due to the interaction term with the Pais-Uhlenbeck field by means of the Unruh-DeWitt detector. In order to associate this particle creation to the Unruh effect we have to calculate the transition probability of the Unruh-DeWitt detector from a ground state to an excited one [19]. By choosing an hyperbolic trajectory in Rindler coordinates, one may see that the transition probability is different from zero as far as we consider a massless Klein-Gordon field. However, in the massive case this procedure results non-analytic [18]. An alternative approach, developed in [15], [16], takes advantage of the issue that we are dealing with systems possessing an infinite number of degrees of freedom. Indeed, in this case one may construct uncountably unitarily inequivalent Hilbert space representations of the canonical commutation relations. Thus the choice of a specific representation depends on appropriate physical considerations such as renormalizability, thermal equilibrium, positivity conditions, etc. The thermal behaviour developed in next subsection will follow this latter approach by implementing a Poincaré invariant and positive definite representation necessary in order to obtain analytic expressions for the massive case which is an essential issue in our higher order derivative model.

Also note that the particle creation may be related to the discrete energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian as changes in the energy levels are interpreted as emission or absorption of particles. On the contrary, for the equal frequencies limit, $\Delta \to 0$, the probability density ρ goes to zero, as in this case the coefficients appearing in the Bogoliubov transformation vanish, that is, $\Lambda_{n,m} \to 0$. In this case, the spectrum acquires a continuum part [14], [21], thus no particle creation occurs in this limit.

3.2. Thermal radiation

For the Pais-Uhlenbeck field model (1) we start by solving the field equation

$$(\Box + m_1^2)(\Box + m_2^2)\phi = 0\,. \tag{23}$$

which, by symmetry, may be obtained by considering the linear complex combination $\phi(x) = \alpha u(x) + \beta v(x)$, where α, β are constants and $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ are independent solutions to Klein-Gordon equations with masses m_1 and m_2, respectively, [22]

$$(\Box + m_1^2)u(x) = 0\,, \qquad (\Box + m_2^2)v(x) = 0\,. \tag{24}$$

From Pais-Uhlenbeck action (1), and by means of the Noether theorem, we define an inner product on the complex solutions to our field equations as

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi, \psi) := i \int d\Sigma^\mu \Big[&-(m_1^2 + m_2^2)(\phi^* \partial_\mu \psi - \psi \partial_\mu \phi^*) + (\partial_\mu \phi^* \Box \psi - \partial_\mu \psi \Box \phi^*) \\ &-(\phi^* \partial_\mu \Box \psi - \psi \partial_\mu \Box \phi^*)\Big]\,,\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where $d\Sigma^\mu = n^\mu d\Sigma$, with n^μ an unitary vector orthogonal to the spatial Cauchy hypersurface Σ, and $d\Sigma$ represents the volume element of Σ. Under this inner product the independent solutions $u(x)$ and $v(x)$ result orthogonal, that is, $(u, v) = 0$. In this manner, we can express the Pais-Uhlenbeck field operator as a formal expansion in terms of a family of complex independent classical solutions $\{u_i(x)\}$, and $\{v_i(x)\}$, in the following way

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[u_i(x) a_i + u_i^*(x) a_i^\dagger + v_i(x) b_i + v_i^*(x) b_i^\dagger \right]\,, \tag{26}$$

where a and b are the annihilation operators and $a^\dagger$ and $b^\dagger$ are the creation operators, respectively, and they follow the commutation relations (11).

As discussed before, the ambiguity in the representations allows us to look for a general Poincaré invariant extension of the standard two-point function $W(x, x') = \langle \Omega | \, \phi(x)\phi(x') \, | \Omega \rangle$ which, due to the symmetry of the Pais-Uhlenbeck model, is explicitly decomposed into modes as

$$W(x, x') = W_u(x, x') - W_v(x, x')\,. \tag{27}$$

The separation of the two-point function $W(x, x')$ into two-point functions for each mode resulted as a consequence of the orthogonality of the u and v modes within the inner product (25). In order to obtain the exact expression for the normalized u and v modes we need to solve the pair of Klein-Gordon equations (24). We will solve these equations in Rindler spacetime in order to describe the Unruh effect. The coordinates for this spacetime are explicitly given by the mapping $(t, x, y, z) \mapsto (\rho \sinh \eta, \rho \cosh \eta, y, z)$. Thus, focusing on the ρ and η components of the coordinates, the Klein-Gordon equations (24) read

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) + m_1^2 \right) u = 0\,, \\ \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \right) + m_2^2 \right) v = 0\,,\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

from which we obtain the wave-like solutions

$$u(\eta,\rho)=e^{-i\omega_1\eta}K_{i\omega_1}(m_1\rho)\,,\qquad v(\eta,\rho)=e^{i\omega_2\eta}K_{i\omega_2}(m_2\rho)\,, \tag{29}$$

respectively. Note that the difference in signs in the exponential of the two modes is necessary to guarantee the positiveness of the inner product defined in (25). Furthermore, this positivity condition can be traced back to the non-Hermiticity property of the Pais-Uhlenbeck Hamiltonian as, for these kind of systems, in order to ensure a positive inner product and normalized wave functions $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric eigenstates must be introduced [20]. Similarly, in the present case, these states are given by the solutions $v(\eta,\rho)$, which result to be positive definite under the inner product derived from the conserved current (25) Note that in (29), the functions $K_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho)$, for $n=1,2$, stand for the Macdonald functions [23] that are solutions to the modified Bessel equation of the second kind with imaginary index

$$\rho^2\frac{d^2K_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho)}{d\rho^2}+\rho\frac{dK_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho)}{d\rho}-\left(m_n^2\rho^2-\omega_n^2\right)K_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho)=0\,. \tag{30}$$

At this point, it is important to mention that we choose the solution $K_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho)$ to (30) due to its asymptotic behaviour $K_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho)\approx(\pi/2m_n\rho)^{1/2}e^{-m_n\rho}(1+O((m_n\rho)^{-1}))$ which tends to zero as the argument tends to infinity. This issue is relevant in order to analyse the Unruh effect as we assume an adiabatic interaction for an static spacetime [19].

We also note that, by considering the inner product (25), the normalization of the u and v modes is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(u_{\omega_1}(\eta,\rho),u_{\omega_1'}(\eta,\rho))&=\frac{\Delta^2\pi^2}{\sinh(\pi\omega_1)}\delta(\omega_1-\omega_1')\,,\\ (v_{\omega_2}(\eta,\rho),v_{\omega_2'}(\eta,\rho))&=\frac{\Delta^2\pi^2}{\sinh(\pi\omega_2)}\delta(\omega_2-\omega_2')\,.\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Again, we may note that at the equal frequencies limit $\Delta\to 0$ this product automatically vanishes. We interpret in a simple manner as in this limit the Pais-Uhlenbeck model is invariant under a different symmetry, and thus, the inner product for the equal frequency limit may be obtained from an appropriate Noether current. In view of the inner products (31) we finally propose the normalized modes

$$\begin{aligned}u_{\omega_1}(\eta,\rho)&=\frac{\sqrt{\sinh(\pi\omega_1)}}{\Delta\pi}e^{-i\omega_1\eta}K_{i\omega_1}(m_1\rho)\,,\\ v_{\omega_2}(\eta,\rho)&=\frac{\sqrt{\sinh(\pi\omega_2)}}{\Delta\pi}e^{i\omega_2\eta}K_{i\omega_2}(m_2\rho)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

The different signs of the exponential functions may be interpreted as particles of mass m_1 and antiparticles of mass m_2, respectively. This interpretation is consequent with the equal frequency limit discussed above, as within this case no particles are observed. From this point of view, we may simply infer that in the equal frequency limit particles and antiparticles annihilate each other.

The generalized Poincaré invariant two-point functions in terms of the normalized modes are given by (see [15], [16] for details)

$$\begin{aligned} W_u(x,x') &= \frac{1}{\pi^2\Delta}\int_0^\infty \sinh(\pi\omega_1)\left[\frac{e^{-i\omega_1(\eta-\eta')}}{1-e^{-2\pi\omega_1}} - \frac{e^{i\omega_1(\eta-\eta')}}{1-e^{2\pi\omega_1}}\right] K_{i\omega_1}(m_1\rho)K_{i\omega_1}(m_1\rho')d\omega_1\,, \\ W_v(x,x') &= \frac{-1}{\pi^2\Delta}\int_0^\infty \sinh(\pi\omega_2)\left[\frac{e^{-i\omega_2(\eta-\eta')}}{1-e^{-2\pi\omega_2}} - \frac{e^{i\omega_2(\eta-\eta')}}{1-e^{2\pi\omega_2}}\right] K_{i\omega_2}(m_2\rho)K_{i\omega_2}(m_2\rho')d\omega_2\,, \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The terms in the square brackets may be written as hyperbolic cosines, respectively, and thus, by considering the integral identity [24]

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\int_0^\infty K_{iy}(a)K_{iy}(b)\cosh\left[y(\pi-\phi)\right]dy = K_0\left[\sqrt{a^2+b^2-2ab\cos(\phi)}\right]\,, \tag{34}$$

we finally obtain the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} W_u(x,x') &= \frac{1}{2\pi\Delta^2}K_0\left(m_1||x-x'||\right) \\ W_v(x,x') &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\Delta^2}K_0\left(m_2||x-x'||\right)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the terms $||\cdot||$ in the argument of the Macdonald K_0 functions stand for the Minkowski norm. In this way, we have obtained the complete generalized Poincaré invariant two-point function (27) associated to the Pais-Uhlenbeck model

$$W(x,x') = \frac{K_0\left(m_1||x-x'||\right)+K_0\left(m_2||x-x'||\right)}{2\pi\Delta^2}\,. \tag{36}$$

Further, from (33) we note that any of the functions $W_u(x,x')$ and $W_v(x,x')$ may be regard as the inverse Fourier transform of the functions $F(\omega_n),\ n=1,2$, identified as

$$F(\omega_n) = \frac{1}{\pi^2\Delta^2}\,\frac{K_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho)K_{i\omega_n}(m_n\rho')\sinh(\pi\omega_n)}{e^{2\pi\omega_n}-1}\,. \tag{37}$$

The function $F(\omega_n)$ thus represents the Fourier transform of the generalized two-point function $W(x,x')$. From the expression (37), we may see that the Planck factor $(e^{2\pi\omega_n}-1)^{-1}$ emerges naturally, thus concluding that each of our particles follows a Bose-Einstein distribution with temperature related to their respective frequencies ω_n.

4. Conclusions

In this article, we analysed the Unruh effect that emerges from a field theoretical version of the Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth order oscillator. Even though, higher derivative theories are commonly associated to the presence of ghost states and energy spectra that are not bounded by below resulting in the loss of unitarity, the Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator do not suffer from these kind of disadvantages. This last result may be seen as a consequence of the discrete $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetry invariance of the Hamiltonian, obtained by introducing an appropriate dynamical inner product in order to preserve positive norm

states, instead of using the standard Dirac inner product. Within this setup, we derived the Bogoliubov transformations associated to the interaction between the Unruh-DeWitt detector and the higher derivative scalar field. Afterwards, in order to describe the thermal behaviour present in the Unruh effect, our strategy was to obtain the most general Poincaré invariant two point-function. Due to the symmetries associated to the Pais-Uhlenbeck Hamiltonian, the quantum theory possesses a positive definite inner product and, therefore, unitarity is guaranteed. We also showed that, within the unequal frequencies case and as a consequence of the Bogoliubov transformation for the normalized modes, particles of mass m_1 together with antiparticles of mass m_2 were created in the process. This interpretation results physically consequent with the equal frequency limit, as within this case the energy spectrum is now continuous, and no particles are observed at all. Finally, by using the generalized Poincaré invariant two point function, we conclude that each kind of the particles created follow a Bose-Einstein distribution with temperature related to their respective frequencies, which in turn are associated to the value of their respective masses. Further studies, are necessary in order to discern on the generality of the results obtained here for a generic higher derivative model. This will be done elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

JBM acknowledges support from CONACYT-Mexico. AM acknowledges financial support from CONACYT-Mexico under project CB-2014-243433. JMM acknowledges Prof. Ugo Moschella for discussion at the Escola Patricio Letelier de Física-Matemática 2016, Ubu, Brazil, and for bringing references [15] and [16] to our attention.

References

[1] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, *General Relativity a la string: A progress report*, in Proceedings of the Marcel Grossman Meeting, Trieste, Italy, 1975, pp. 77–87 (North-Holland, 1977).

[2] R. Cordero, A. Molgado and E. Rojas, *Ostrogradski approach for the Regge-Teitelboim type cosmology*, Phys. Rev. **D79** 024024 (2009), `arXiv:0901.1938v1 [gr-qc]`.

[3] M. Önder and R. W. Tucker, *Membrane interactions and total mean curvature*, Phys. Rev. **B4** 501–504 (1988).

[4] R. Cordero, A. Molgado and E. Rojas, *Quantum charged rigid membrane*, Class. Quantum Grav. **28** 065010 (2011), `arXiv:1012.1379v2 [gr-qc]`.

[5] C. Udrişte and A. Pitea, *Optimization problems via second order Lagrangians*, Balk. J. Geom. Appl. **16** 174–185 (2011).

[6] P. N. V. Tu, *Dynamical systems: An introduction with applications in Economics and Biology*, 2nd edition (Springer-Verlag, 1994).

[7] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody and H. F. Jones, *Complex Extension of Quantum Mechanics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** 270401 (2002), `arXiv:quant-ph/0208076v2`.

[8] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody and H. F. Jones, *Extension of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum mechanics to quantum field theory with cubic interaction*, Phys. Rev. **D70** 025001 (2004), `arXiv:hep-th/0402183v1`.

[9] C. M. Bender, S. F. Brandt, J. H. Chen and Q. Wang, *The $\mathcal{C}$ operator in $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum field theory as a Lorentz scalar*, Phys. Rev. **D71** 065010 (2005), `arXiv:hep-th/0412316v1`.

[10] P. D. Mannheim, *Making the Case for Conformal Gravity*, Found. Phys. **42** 388–420 (2012), arXiv:1101.2186v2 [hep-th].

[11] K. Andrzejewski, A. Galajinsky, J. Gonera and I. Masterov, *Conformal Newton-Hooke symmetry of Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator*, Nucl. Phys. **B885** 150–162 (2014), arXiv:1402.1297v3 [hep-th].

[12] C. M. Bender, *Introduction to $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum theory*, Contemp. Phys. **46** 277–292 (2005), arXiv:quant-ph/0501052.

[13] K. Bolonek and P. Kosinski, *Hamiltonian Structures for Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator*, Acta Phys. Polon. **B36** 2115–2131 (2005), arXiv:quant-ph/0501024v2.

[14] J. Berra-Montiel, A. Molgado and E. Rojas, *Deformation quantization of the Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth order oscillator*, Ann. Phys. **362** 298–310 (2015), arXiv:1505.02866v2 [quant-ph].

[15] U. Moschella and R. Schaeffer, *A note on canonical quantization of fields on a manifold*, JCAP **2009** 033 (2009), arXiv:0802.2447v2 [gr-qc].

[16] U. Moschella and R. Schaeffer, *Quantum fields on curved spacetimes and a new look at the Unruh effect*, AIP Conf. Proc. **1132** 303–332 (2009), arXiv:0904.3751v1 [hep-th].

[17] B. DeWitt, *The Global Approach to Quantum Field Theory, Vol. 1 & 2*, International Series of Monographs on Physics (Clarendon Press, 2003).

[18] S. Takagi, *Vacuum noise and stress induced by uniform accelerators: Hawking-Unruh effect in Rindler manifold of arbitrary dimensions*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **88** 1–142 (1986).

[19] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved spaces*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge University Press, 1984).

[20] C. M. Bender and P. D. Mannheim, *No-ghost theorem for the fourth-order derivative Pais-Uhlenbeck oscillator model*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** 110402 (2008), arXiv:0706.0207v1 [hep-th].

[21] A. V. Smilga, *Comments on the Dynamics of the Pais-Uhlenbeck Oscillator*, SIGMA **5** 13 (2009), arXiv:0808.0139v2 [quant-ph].

[22] D. Zwillinger, *Handbook of differential equations*, 3rd edition (Academic Press, 1997).

[23] K. Oldham, J. Myland and J. Spanier, *An Atlas of Functions: with Equator, the Atlas Function Calculator*, 2nd edition (Springer-Verlag, 2009).

[24] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. G. Tricomi, *Tables of Integral transforms*, Bateman Manuscript project Vol. 1 (McGraw-Hill, 1954).